

Evaluation Results

Please find below the mean rating for each of questions 1 through 13, as well as selected comments and excerpts of selected comments which delegates provided in answer to questions 14 through 16.

Vous trouverez ci-dessous la cote moyenne pour chaque question de 1 à 13, ainsi que des commentaires sélectionnés et des extraits des commentaires sélectionnés que les conférenciers nous ont offerts pour répondre aux questions 14 à 16.

	1	2	3	4	5	Replies/ Réponses	Mean/ Cote moyenne
1. Pre-conference information and communication/ Information et communication (pré-conférence)			5	19	43	67 (/70 total forms) 3 n/c	4.56
2. Conference registration/ Inscription à la conférence		2		8	55	65 (/70) 5 n/c	4.78
3. Sofitel facilities and services/ Installations hôtelières et services				11	56	67 (/70) 3 n/c	4.835
4. Food/ Nourriture (Quality/ Qualité) (Quantity/ Quantité)		1	1	12 4	58 54	70 60	4.828 4.85
5. Conference organization & logistics/ Organisation et logistique de la conférence				8	62	70(/70)	4.885
6. Overall content of the program/ L'ensemble du contenu du programme			1	22	45	68(/70) 2 n/c	4.647
7. Networking & social opportunities/ Possibilités de réseautage et activités sociales			4	16	45	65(/70) 5 n/c	4.63

8. Lucien Bouchard keynote/ Allocution de Lucien Bouchard	1	2	8	18	39	68(/70) 2 n/c	4.35
9. Michael Goldbloom panel/ Panel modéré par Michael Goldbloom		1	4	34	25	64(/70) 6 n/c	4.296
10. John Lin and Ali Jaffer luncheon/ Présentation de John Lin et d'Ali Jaffer	1	1	11	20	26	59(/70) 11 n/c	4.169
11. Wendy Mesley Interview/ Entretien animé par Wendy Mesley			8	22	36	66(/70) 4 n/c	4.42
12. Stephen Toope panel/ Panel modéré par Stephen Toope			2	9	55	66(/70) 4 n/c	4.8
13. Kevin Lynch panel/ Panel modéré par Kevin Lynch			1	27	29	57(/70) 13 n/c	4.49

	Selected Comments (Excerpts)/ Commentaires sélectionnés (extraits)
14. How could the conference have been improved?/ Comment la conférence aurait-elle pu être améliorée?	<p>“Delegate list earlier in materials”</p> <p>“Excellent conference; difficult to improve”</p> <p>“Podium was in the way of some speakers”</p> <p>“Perfect!”</p> <p>“More audience engagement”/ “More interactive discussion on Friday”</p> <p>“Networking breaks”</p> <p>“More structure around Chair breakout session”</p>
15. Please indicate topics you are interested in discussing at upcoming annual conferences./ Proposez des sujets que vous aimeriez voir au programme des	<p>“Seeing more of host institution”</p> <p>“Crisis management issues and boards’ role in them”</p> <p>“Board/Senate/Bicameral/Tricameral/Unicameral structure</p> <p>“Governance innovation “</p> <p>“Need more Senate”</p> <p>“Board’s role and responsibilities in Presidential transition”</p> <p>“Best practices”</p> <p>“President/Board relationships; government relations”</p> <p>“Precarious role/position of secretary”</p> <p>“Where is HR management in universities today – academic, non-academic, unions, succession, development, etc – when does this affect the Board’s agenda and how often”</p>

<p>conférences à venir.</p>	<p>“Student experience” “Ongoing challenges regarding harassment/sexual violence risks for universities.” “Successful student experience; how the Board encourages and monitors this.” “Financial challenges of universities – new reality” “Conflict of interest”</p>
<p>16. Other suggestions or comments/ Autres suggestions ou commentaires:</p>	<p>“Excellent program; very timely” “Best CUBA conference I have attended” “Please provide non-alcoholic beverages in hospitality suite.” “Could some of the sessions be taped & shared with Boards back home?” “Using the trust and communication theme was an excellent idea to keep the topics at a high level”</p>